

*August 2, 2021*

**T** HE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LEWISTON, IDAHO, met in a Work Session on Monday, August 2, 2021 at 3:02 p.m. at the Bell Building – 215 D Street – Second Floor Conference Room.

---

**COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:** Mayor Collins; Mayor Pro Tem Schroeder; Councilor Blakey; Councilor Bradbury; Councilor Kelly; Councilor Miller; Councilor Pernsteiner

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Alan Nygaard; Jana Gómez; Kayla Hermann; Travis Myklebust; Nikki Province; Aimee Gordon; Laura Von Tersch; Lynn Johnson; Joel Plaskon; Kari Ravencroft; Brittnie Purington; Danny Santiago

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

**II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Mayor Collins led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**III. CITIZEN COMMENTS**

*This is an opportunity for members of the public to address the City Council on agenda items or any issue of City business; provided, however, members of the public shall not address matters that are the subject of a public hearing or provide additional testimony or information on a quasi-judicial matter (e.g., Planning and Zoning matter) after the official record of such matter has been closed. Persons commenting may request that items be placed on a future agenda for further discussion; such requests are subject to approval by the City Manager or a majority vote of the City Council. Comments regarding the performance of a specific City employee are not appropriate during Citizens' Comments; instead, a meeting should be scheduled with the City Manager. The presiding officer may determine that comments regarding matters that have been previously heard and/or decided by the City Council are not appropriate for Citizens' Comments.*

*A person shall not provide comment unless and until recognized by the presiding officer. Persons providing comment shall identify themselves by their names and cities of residence, but shall not be required to disclose their mailing or home addresses. Persons making comments shall be limited to **3 minutes** each, unless additional time is allowed by the presiding officer.*

*Comments may be made: (1) in-person, (2) by emailing the City Clerk at [kravencroft@cityoflewiston.org](mailto:kravencroft@cityoflewiston.org), or (3) by calling (208) 746-3676 and leaving a message. Comments submitted by email or phone will be forwarded to the City Council. If you would like your comment to be read out loud during the meeting, please so indicate in your message. Members of the public who wish to provide in-person comment, but who are unable to secure a seat in the designated meeting space and/or overflow room(s) due to occupancy or gathering limits, will be rotated in and out of the designated meeting space in order to provide comment. While not in the designated meeting space and/or overflow room(s), such persons may watch and listen to the livestream of the meeting on their own devices by visiting the City of Lewiston's Facebook page or the City's website at [cityoflewiston.org](http://cityoflewiston.org). The City will not provide a means for listening to the meeting outside of the designated meeting space and/or overflow room(s).*

City Clerk Ravencroft read a comment phoned in by Toby Pill outlining his concerns about a new homeless shelter that is proposed to be located directly across from a city park on Snake River Avenue. He said he believes this is a terrible idea and encouraged the council to look at the situation in Clarkston.

Ms. Ravencroft then read a comment submitted by Heidi Beloit also regarding the potential homeless shelter on Snake River Avenue. She expressed her opposition to this possible 200-bed facility that would be located across from a public park where there are small children at play with the potential for abduction or injury. Ms. Beloit noted that her family and friends also oppose a shelter in Lewiston.

Jim and Gwen Cureltz phoned in a comment stating that they reviewed the Guide to the City Budget publication and found that it was very informative and well thought out.

Phil Altmeyer, Union Gospel Mission (UGM), offered his opinion on the homeless shelter. He clarified that not all shelters are the same and the shelters that UGM operates have restrictions, standards, and guidelines that offer a sober and safe environment. He stated that UGM is not interested in enabling homeless people and encouraged the Council to consider not setting a low barrier standard for their project. He understands there is fear with homeless shelters, but he wants to be sure that people understand what UGM's mission is. This shelter would be an asset to the community and a place for people to go that is safe and drug free.

Shan Profit, Lewiston developer of Lindsey Creek Estates, said people do not always want change, but once good things happen, it is accepted. He noted that currently local churches and organizations don't know how to handle the homeless population, but UGM has the resources to help the people get clean from addiction and become contributing members of society. He stated that there are people living under the bridges and in the parks and he feels that UGM would be a great solution in working to solve this issue.

Kerry Kessler stated she supports UGM coming to the community. She said that she lives in Clarkston and sees the issues first hand. As the ROC rescue mission operated, they needed food and a place to send the people that needed help. After a lot of discussion and prayer the board decided to look into UGM to come to the community to assist with a shelter. They spent time weekly with case managers and working with individuals to improve their ways and learn from the past and move on to the future. She said that she does not see anything better than the model of UGM.

Beverly (no last name provided) said she lived in Lewiston from 2017 until early 2020, and entered UGM. She stated that she would be completing the UGM rehabilitation program this month and it was more than any other rehab ever offered her. The UGM has resources to get people the help they need and the programs they offer change lives.

Tobe Finch, Happy Day Corporation, stated he is in full support of UGM. He reported that some of the workers his company hires come to them close to or already homeless. Something has to be done as homeless individuals are in the parks and on city streets. UGM facilities raise one's vision for life and give people hope for a better day. UGM has high standards and they celebrate the wins.

Kate Nolan, Clarkston, said she is the mother of an addict and the sister of alcoholics. As the previous bookkeeper for the ROC, one of the hardest times is when a homeless individual or an addict decide to change their lifestyles, but there is nowhere for them to go. Many of these people are those that can be saved and become good citizens of society. If this community does not provide help for them, they will find other means. She asked that the Council seriously embrace the UGM.

Linda Webb, recent UGM graduate, said she tried many times to break the cycle of her addiction. In and out of prison, she stated she did not have the life skills to remain clean upon release. While in the UGM program, she indicated that she was taught how to budget her money and learned life skills rather than survival skills. After 25 years of methamphetamine use she said has been able to maintain a full time job and give back to the community by running a transition house.

Ken Jacks, Lewiston, stated that five years ago many people from the city and community came together as a group to develop the city ordinance for homeless shelters. That process took about a year with more than 20 meetings. Though he does support the UGM, he does not support tossing out what has already been developed and suggested making modifications to the present ordinance rather than starting over. Mr. Jacks said that he is not comfortable with not capping the number of beds and does not want this to end up being a draw to the community. He requested that the Council deny the request from the UGM due to the request not being reasonable.

Carmel Donahue, Lewiston, stated she supports what Mr. Jacks said. Noting that she has nothing against the UGM, she wants to ensure that there is not another situation similar to the ROC wherein there was no accountability. Ms. Donahue indicated her issue is that all the work already done by numerous volunteers is being disregarded. If the Council gives up control and issues arise, the ability to hear from the community and businesses will be lost. She suggested having UGM come to the community but under the rules that are already set.

Gordy Gregg, Lewiston, stated that he worked for the City for over 33 years as a firefighter and when he retired, he found a different way to serve the community. Serving on the board for the ROC he discovered that homelessness is far more than not having somewhere to sleep. The ROC was an institution with good intentions, but it allowed the poor and homeless to continue to live poor and homeless. Furthermore, the enabling behaviors created more problems for the immediate neighborhood that culminated in the neighbors forming an organized effort to get the city to do something about the problems they were experiencing. He said that he worked hard to open lines of communication with the group and the ROC started working towards offering case management in an effort to be more proactive. The problem was they were working with little experience and less money than what was needed to make the services happen. Mr. Gregg continued by stating that the board began discussions with UGM in Spokane because they knew that the organization had the adequate resources to promote real change. UGM has been providing services for more than seventy years and is currently operating several units. The fact that they would even consider coming to Lewiston is a credit to their determination to help people get out from under the despair of homelessness. Mr. Gregg urged the Council to work with UGM to bring lasting change to the valley.

Lee Tuott, Lewiston, said that he read Community Development Director Von Tersch's report and agrees with the recommendation that the Council should not move forward with approving the UGM request.

Tom Clark, Lewiston, stated that he is concerned that the city is not following its own rules, noting that the area in question is in a manufacturing zone. He said that he does not disagree with what UGM wants to accomplish but is concerned about the location of the shelter. There has been a lot of money spent on the skate park, the levee, and Kiwanis Park where people congregate directly across the street. He noted that when the city developed plans for manufacturing zones, they did not allow this type of activity as an outright use. Mr. Clark said he feels that there are parts of the city wherein this shelter may work out well, but the proposed location is not it.

Andrea Cross, Lewiston, stated that she is in full support of the UGM and it would be very sad for this community to miss out on this opportunity. UGM staff are experts in the field of helping people and saving lives. She said that those who do not have firsthand experience don't understand that many people have nowhere to go and no help. She emphasized that she does not want to see the city turn away professionals that can help the desperate, mentally ill, or hungry. She said that this is an opportunity for a blessing in the community and asked the Council to take the risk for the hurting and the lost and be the community that loves big enough to save lives.

A motion was offered by Councilor Miller and seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Schroeder to suspend citizen comments until after the staff presentation. The motion passed unanimously.

#### IV. WORK SESSION ITEMS

A. NEZ PERCE COUNTY COURTHOUSE CONCEPT: *Presentation on the plans for the Nez Perce County Courthouse (Havens)*

Nez Perce County Commissioner Chair Havens thanked the Council for the opportunity to provide an overview of the proposed location and layout of the new courthouse. He presented a concept diagram of the possible layout of the new courthouse and licensing division. The concept consists of demolishing the current courthouse and prosecution office, construction of new structures, and utilization of the green space in front of City Hall.

Councilor Miller stated that she appreciated that the county found a solution to not affect the Police Department, as well as leaving the courthouse location downtown.

In response to Councilor Blakey's question regarding an appraisal, Mr. Havens stated it would be in the county's best interest to be willing to pay to have the properties appraised.

Councilor Blakey stated that his only concern is the limited parking access for City Hall patrons. He asked if a certain number of spots that are listed as staff parking on the diagram could be reassigned for City Hall parking. Mr. Havens stated that he thought that would be doable.

Mr. Havens again thanked the Council for their time and stated there would be a follow up at a later date.

**B. HOMELESS SHELTER: *Review and discussion of Homeless Shelter Regulations (Von Tersch/Nygaard)***

City Manager Nygaard opened discussion with regard to a possible modification to Chapter 42 of City Code. This section of the code focuses on homeless shelters and Union Gospel Mission (UGM) submitted a request to have an additional definition for bridge housing added to the chapter. Bridge housing involves getting people from their current situations to contributing members of society. This definition would not have a limit on the number of beds allowed, however the bed limit for homeless shelters would remain at fifty.

Community Development Director Von Tersch stated that her concern with this proposal is that there was a year's worth of work and many meetings with community members to develop this chapter of city code. The fifty-bed cap was meant to minimize the impact on that part of the community and the wording and zoning districts were intentional. She suggested the use of conditional use permits which provides an opportunity for people to express their opinions on specific locations. Her recommendation is to not add a definition for bridge housing; however, if the Council does choose to do so, she suggested that shelters not be located next to public parks.

Councilor Bradbury asked if the UGM would choose not come to the community if the definition of bridge housing was not added to the Code. Mr. Nygaard replied that UGM has expressed that their organization would need more than fifty beds in order to run an efficient operation. Councilor Bradbury asked how many beds are being requested. Mr. Nygaard replied that the proposal is for an unlimited amount.

Mr. Altmeyer explained that the original intent of his organization was to provide a shelter. The Planning & Zoning Commission provided various potential locations, but due to the length of time it would take to get the shelter up and running, it was decided to begin with a thrift store. He clarified that when the shelter does open the number of residents will not be large, but it is important to plan for the future.

Councilor Pernsteiner asked if there has been a chance to review the bridge housing definition and if there are other cities that have experience with it in their city code. Ms. Von Tersch responded that she is unaware of any, but thought that Spokane had made progress in this area.

Mr. Nygaard reminded the Council that they are looking at a code revision, not UGM as an organization. The code revision in question would allow for a definition for bridge housing to be added to City Code.

Mayor Pro Tem Schroeder asked for a definition of bridge housing. Mr. Nygaard stated that it is his understanding that bridge housing is where a person is dealing with

homelessness, drug addiction and/or alcoholism and is provided a safe place and rehabilitation so they can become contributing members of society. He added that the housing is a shelter that has separate units for men, as well as family facilities. There are services that are provided and a specific set of rules for the facility that would be presented to the Planning and Zoning Commission that go along with the conditional use permit.

Councilor Blakey asked if there could be a number of beds specified, rather than no limit in the conditional use permit or listed within the Code section. Mr. Nygaard confirmed and explained that if the Council chooses they can make the modification to Chapter 42 of City Code to create certain restrictions. The bridge housing definition would have its own set of rules separate from the homeless shelter criteria.

Mayor Pro Tem Schroeder said she is not as concerned about the bridge housing definition as she is with the location of the proposed shelter. City Attorney Gómez stated that in the proposal, UGM is asking for bridge housing to be allowed outright within the city. She clarified that the Council has the option to amend the City Code as they see fit for the topic at hand. Mayor Pro Tem Schroeder asked if the proposed location could be exempt. Ms. Gómez stated that the recommendation from staff is that a conditional use permit still be required. Mayor Pro Tem Schroeder stated that she is not in favor of the location being that close to a public park and agrees that conditional use permits should be required.

Councilor Blakey stated he was able to tour the UGM facility located in Spokane and spent time monitoring the location to see how the operation worked. He said that he interacted with some of the people and came away with a very positive outlook. This is an organization that is well run and has been tested by time.

Councilor Bradbury stated that it is unfair to assume all homeless people are a threat to everyone and there are going to be homeless people that will be a threat regardless of the location of the shelter. The mentally ill are often the population that are homeless and they need help. Having seen the UGM function, a person cannot enter a UGM facility if they are under the influence. Mr. Bradbury said that he would like for the conditional use permit process to remain in place wherein individuals have the right to an appeal process.

Councilor Pernsteiner said that there are six different layers to the zoning code and not any two businesses are alike. He feels that there are different areas of this topic that need to be considered as each shelter works for a different purpose. Mr. Pernsteiner stated that he would entertain looking into the bridge housing definition in the future.

Councilor Miller said that the Homelessness Plan, which is a part of the city's Strategic Plan, is slated to be completed in 2022. She asked how the bridge housing proposal would fit into the Plan the city already has in place. Mr. Nygaard replied that the more avenues the city has available the better. The conditional use permits and zoning requirements serve as tools to deal with each particular situation. Ms. Miller said that she is supportive of the bridge housing process, however she was a part of the specifications within the current City Code and the fifty bed limit was put in place due to population

and what best fits this community. Ms. Miller stated she is not in favor of stepping aside from all the work that was already done and agrees the conditional use permit process should remain in place.

Councilor Kelly said that he is in support of using the conditional use permit, but would also like to look further into adding the definition of bridge housing as an additional tool for the city to use.

Mr. Nygaard stated that staff is working to schedule an open house on homelessness and he suggested the city have a booth set up to be able to explain to the community the details of what is being proposed and ask for feedback.

Gordy Gregg clarified some of the language included in the proposal for bridge housing that was defined by Federal Health and Human Services. He emphasized that UGM's proposal is an effort to provide additional information, not to disregard the work previously completed. There is language in the proposal that includes language from the conditional use permit that outlines the facility management plan to operate. It then talks about the closed campus with services reserved for guests only and to facilitate a safe environment. UGM uses breathalyzer and urine testing to ensure the person(s) being serviced are clean and sober. He noted that there is also language that states guests are not allowed to loiter within a one-mile radius of the facility. Mr. Gregg noted that he shared the security plan with Police Chief Hurd and he was supportive.

Councilor Pernsteiner stated that he would like the Council to discuss all of the different target audiences for the different levels of service at a future meeting. He stated that he is in support of the conditional use permit as a part of that discussion.

Councilor Bradbury stated that he likes what is currently in place, but would also like to see the population limits added within the zoning areas and conditional permit cost estimates. He said that he is going to defer his final decision until the open house is held to gather more information.

**C. SALARY SCALE: *Presentation of the final proposed salary scale (Province)***

City Manager Nygaard presented the final salary scale to the Council to be included in the FY'22 budget.

Councilor Blakey asked for clarification with regard to how the scale was set up. Mr. Nygaard explained that the old scale listed each position of each employee. The new scale is condensed to show a simplified version. There were many positions that were extremely close in pay, so they were all placed in the same category.

Councilor Kelly asked if the steps are for years of service or experience/certification. Mr. Nygaard stated that it is a combination and the specific department decides that at the time of hire. Councilor Kelly requested to see where the current employees are in the step process. Mr. Nygaard agreed to provide that information.

Councilor Blakey asked if only cost of living adjustments would be provided to those employees who reach Step 10. Mr. Nygaard confirmed.

Councilor Bradbury stated that the City of Lewiston has great employees who do a great job keeping the city running. He stated, however, that the higher positions are receiving more money than they should.

- D. **1800 BLOCK BRYDEN VACATION:** Discussion as to whether Public Works may accept an application from people who would like to petition for the vacation of the 1800 Block of Bryden Avenue without notarized consent letters from all adjacent property owners under current Lewiston City Code provisions – (D. Johnson)

Public Works Director Johnson opened a discussion regarding a vacation application for the 1800 block of Bryden Avenue. He stated that multiple conversations have been held with legal staff and the neighbors that want to pursue this project. Mr. Johnson explained that a group of homeowners are interested in vacating a portion of Bryden Avenue and have come to staff for guidance. City Code Section 31-104 requires that 100 percent of the neighboring property owners sign off on the vacation. The residents are unable to get 100 percent of the signatures required and there are two provisions within Idaho Code that allow for the process of that application; however, City Code does not include those provisions. Staff is requesting the Council give permission to accept the application based on Idaho Code.

Ms. Gómez stated that her recommendation would be to accept the petition with as many notarized consent letters the petitioners are able to secure, which aligns with Idaho Code.

Mayor Pro Tem Schroeder asked if there would still be a public hearing held. Mr. Johnson confirmed.

There was consensus of the Council to accept the application per Idaho Code.

- E. **PURCHASE OF PORT PROPERTY:** Discussion regarding a potential property purchase agreement between the City of Lewiston and the Port of Lewiston (D. Johnson)

City Engineer Kaufmann updated the Council on the potential property purchase from the Port of Lewiston. He explained that when the rehab project began at the Wastewater Treatment Plant the contractor began to use an adjacent piece of property owned by the Port. Through conversation with Port staff, there was a decision made to try to purchase the property for possible future use or storage for wastewater materials. The purchase price would be based on square footage.

Councilor Bradbury stated he feels that the city needs the property and that it would be beneficial for the city to purchase. He said that the issue he has is with the system. Taxpayers should be fed up as the Port purchased the property with county and



city taxpayer funds, payed for it again when it was removed from the tax rolls, and will have to pay again when it is purchased by the city.

F. ***DAM BREACHING:*** Discussion as to whether the Council would like to schedule this topic for a town hall meeting or a future work session (Nygaard)

Mr. Nygaard asked for direction from the Council on what type of meeting and conversation they would like to have regarding dam breaching. He suggested that a town hall type of setting might be a way to facilitate information exchange and gain some data through a survey from the community.

Mayor Collins agreed with having a town hall meeting and allowing for comment and conversation with the community. Councilor Pernsteiner also agreed and suggested that the Senator's office and as many partners as possible be included.

Councilor Miller asked if the goal for the town hall meeting was for the Council to take a position on the topic. She said that there are many sides to this issue and wants to be sure the goal of the Council is clear. Councilor Pernsteiner responded that his objective would be to understand if there is action to take. There have been multiple requests asking for the Council to take a position on this topic and the city should have a voice in the discussion.

Councilor Kelly questioned the impact of the city's overall position, adding he understands it would affect the city financially. Mr. Nygaard replied that it is a statement of opinion. The Council has no authority to remove the dams, but its position would carry weight with the powers that do have the decision making authority.

Councilor Blakey agreed with the town hall meeting, as long as it doesn't get out of hand. He suggesting that rules and time limits be established for those who would like to speak.

Mayor Pro Tem Schroeder said that she would not like for the meeting to be a debate, but to receive educational information from both sides. Councilor Pernsteiner responded that he would be all for a debate in the right kind of way. Through debate people are able to hear both parties and their takes on the issue. Councilor Bradbury said that he feels that a debate can be done respectfully. He feels that there is not another topic that is more important for the economy in this valley.

Mayor Collins said he agrees that a town hall meeting should be held in mid to late November and in a debate format.

Citizen comments resumed.

Jud Taylor, Lewiston, addressed the right-of-way vacation discussed earlier. He noted that he has secured all but two of the signatures and requested Council approval.

Sherry Rofuse, Lewiston, expressed opposition to the proposed location of the homeless shelter, as well as the unlimited bed limit. Not only is the park a concern, but it would also affect the residents that live and walk in this area. Ms. Rofuse said the Police Department already has a difficult time keeping up with code issues and this would only exacerbate this problem.

Becca White, Lewiston, commented that she is a registered nurse and when she started her journey with homelessness she first wanted to get an accurate number of homeless women and children within the community. In 2019 there were well over 50 children that the schools were tracking as homeless in the valley. She said there is a lack of available resources and women will often stay in dangerous situations to continue to keep their children in a home. Being a high barrier facility, like UGM, means they take every precaution to keep the people in the facility safe. Residents of Lewiston cannot keep relying on the social workers in the schools for help. She said that she would feel completely safe with the establishment of a UGM facility, even with a park located across the street.

Hannah Liedkie, Lewiston resident and President and CEO of Opportunities Unlimited, encouraged the council to continue to listen to the citizens, adding that she believes that everyone can work together to come up with a solution. She said there is an issue that needs to be addressed and there is a City Code for a reason. Ms. Liedkie said that her organization serves a vulnerable population and she was skeptical when UGM moved in next door. After some research, she found that UGM does a very good job with their mission. The city needs to address the homelessness issue that is already here and she said she would like to see the conversation continued.

Linnea Noreen, Lewiston, stated she is not here to voice her opinion regarding what needs done with the homeless population. There is a problem in the valley and it absolutely needs to be addressed. She said that the question is whether UGM is the right organization and whether they have selected the right location. Ms. Noreen said she is concerned that the UGM is an out of town organization and that a percentage of every dollar raised would go outside of the valley. Further, she expressed concern for the request for an unlimited amount of beds. While UGM offers great programs, it also attracts people of a less desirable demographic. She encouraged the Council to review potential ramifications.

Kelli Brigham, Lewiston, said she has owned two different homes on Normal Hill. Kiwanis park is a jewel of a park and she feels that it would be a change in dynamic if this shelter was allowed to be across the street. She asked what the residents would be doing during the day, and stated she feels that Lewiston has seen a decline in the upkeep of the enforcement of City Code. Ms. Brigham mentioned a house on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street that has an outhouse in the front yard and there is nothing being done about it. She believes there is a need for this type of shelter, but she is not sure that the proposed location is appropriate.

Loretta Tye, Lewiston, said that she keeps hearing a lot of talk about the LC Valley. She emphasized that we are discussing the City of Lewiston and that the Council serves the City of Lewiston. She feels that the shelter should not be located downtown along the river and maybe not even in the City of Lewiston at all. Ms. Tye suggested a check-in point be established wherein individuals could be bussed to other facilities. She added she would like to see an emphasis on

women and children rather than the creation of a shelter that would attract more of the homeless population to this area.

Mike Fosbury, Lewiston, noted that he served for 14 years locally for the Idaho State Police and there is a lot of conversation about what the process is or should be with regard to the homeless. He said his advice was to keep the current language and to not increase the number of beds. Mr. Fosbury pointed out that a homeless man was sleeping across from the thrift store in the park just this morning, and there is an encampment located 50 yards from the levee system. Though he fully endorses a warming shelter at the Salvation Army, he doesn't believe the UGM facility will solve everything.

Michelle King, manager of the Lewiston Youth Resource Center, reported that on any given night there are up to thirty high school students looking for a place to sleep and parents often live in their cars with nowhere to go. She has had long conversations with the UGM organization and said there are a lot of things that can be learned from them. Ms. King thanked the Council for these continued discussions in an effort to minimize the homelessness issue.

**V. UNFINISHED AND NEW BUSINESS**

**A. *CITY COUNCILOR COMMENTS***

Councilor Kelly asked if the city has any data regarding the expired eviction moratorium within the community and how that will affect the citizens. Mr. Nygaard said that the Idaho Housing and Finance Association does have funding available and he would make that information available on the website for reference.

**B. *CITY MANAGER COMMENTS***

No comments were offered.

**C. *ADVISORY BOARD OR COMMISSION APPOINTMENTS* – Action Item**

There were no appointments.

**D. *PROPOSED WORK SESSION TOPICS* – Action Item**

There were no topics offered.

**VI. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to come before the Lewiston City Council, Mayor Pro Tem Schroeder and Councilor Blakey moved and seconded adjournment of the August 2, 2021, Work Session at 5:53 p.m.

The City of Lewiston is committed to providing access and reasonable accommodation in its services, programs, and activities and encourages qualified persons with disabilities to participate. If you anticipate needing any type of accommodation or have questions about the physical access provided at this meeting, please contact City Clerk Kari Ravencroft at least forty-eight (48) hours in advance of the meeting at (208) 746-3671 x 6203.